

JAN 29 2007

445 Broad Hollow Road
Suite 225
Melville, N.Y. 11747
Tel.: (631) 501-5700
Fax: (631) 501-3526



**Carter, DeLuca, Farrell
& Schmidt LLP**

Fax

To:	U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Examiner: Dennis Y. Myint Group Art Unit: 2162		From:	Judy Naamat
Fax:	571-273-8300		Date:	January 29, 2007
Name	Judy Naamat		Pages:	3 (incl. Cover)
Mailing address	Carter, DeLuca, Farrell & Schmidt, LLP 445 Broad Hollow Road, Suite 225 Melville, New York 11747			
document info:	Document type:	Agenda for Examiner's Interview		
	Document number:	SN 10/608,722		
	Quantity requested			
	Filing date:	June 26, 2003		Atty. Docket no.: 1352-2

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

•Comments:

If you have any questions, please contact Maria Goldman at 631-501-5700 x2329.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and/or confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this facsimile or its content is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile message to us by mail or destroy it without making a copy. Thank you.

JAN 29 2007

GROUP ART UNIT 2162
PATENT
DOCKET NO. 1352-2**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANT: Silas W. Dunsmore et al. **EXAMINER:** Dennis V. Myint
SERIAL NO.: 10/608,722 **GROUP ART UNIT:** 2162
FILED: June 26, 2003 **DATED:** January 29, 2007
FOR: **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR EXCHANGING SUB-
HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURES WITHIN A
HIERARCHICAL FILESYSTEM**

Via FAX: 571-273-8300Attn: Dennis Y. Myint, Art Unit: 2162
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**AGENDA FOR EXAMINER'S INTERVIEW**

Dear Sir:

1. With reference to the Maurer III reference, Applicants would like to distinguish between the swapping procedure described in paragraph [0112], and the relocation procedure described in paragraph [0115]. The Examiner states that copying is used in the relocation procedure, but not in the swapping procedure. Applicants believe that the swapping procedure does not provide for relocation of the second root directory from the second location to the first location which is occupied by the root directory of the HFS, as cited in Applicants' independent claims.

2. The Examiner responded to Applicants' argument that there is no motivation to combine Maurer III et al. with Hensley et al. without addressing the reason supplied by Applicants, which focuses on the differences between the architectures described by Hensley et al. and Maurer III et al., Specifically, Hensley et al. describes a hierarchical file system (HFS) including a first and second sub-hierarchy. A first bootstrap file is used to boot the computer using the first sub-hierarchy, and a backup bootstrap file is used to boot the computer using the second sub-hierarchy. Maurer III, on the other hand, describes using two distinct and separate file systems, LUNS, which are not included in one HFS. There is no motivation to combine features of the system described by Maurer III et al. with the system described by Hensley et al. when the systems are different architecturally. Furthermore, Claim 1 recites that the first and second sub-hierarchies are both included in one HFS, and Maurer III et al. does not meet that limitation.

Please call me if you require any further information.

Respectfully Submitted,

Judy Naamat
Reg. No. 39,311
Agent for Applicants

CARTER DeLUCA, FARRELL & SCHMIDT, LLP
445 Broad Hollow Road
Suite 225
Melville, N.Y. 11747
Phone: (631) 501-5712

JRN:mg